



Leicestershire County Council

Highway Asset Management consultation summary

1. Consultation Summary

- 1.1 The second public consultation ran from the 30th January to the 26th March 2017. This consulted on the draft Highway Asset Management Policy document and the draft Highway Asset Management Strategy document, which were developed with the help of comments received in the first consultation.
- 1.2 An online questionnaire on the County Council's website was promoted through a number of channels, including press releases, direct emails to key stakeholder groups and parish councils and items on the Council's website and intranet.
- 1.3 The questionnaire was supplemented by stakeholder workshops/meetings, to explore the proposals in more detail. These workshops/meetings were held with Parish Clerks, Older People Engagement Network, Leicestershire Equalities Challenge group and disability groups.
- 1.4 The online questionnaire received 76 responses.

2. Summary of Key Points

- 2.1 Key points arising from the consultation are summarised below. These have been taken into account when re-drafting the proposed Highway Asset Management Policy document and Highway Asset management Strategy document and will also guide the development of the HIAMP and the operational process documents that will follow.
 - 2.1.1 Question – To what extent do you agree or disagree with the Policy Statements (Policy Statement AMP1 – We will develop and operate a formalised asset management approach to ensure optimal use and direction of County Council resources in maintaining the county highway assets for the benefit of current and future stakeholders)

70.2% Agree
16.2% Neither agree nor disagree
12.2% Disagree
 - 2.1.2 Question - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the Policy Statements (Policy Statement AMP2 – We will prioritise maintenance interventions and treatments choices using a risk based approach, taking account of the safety of stakeholders, network hierarchy, levels of use, network condition, environmental impact, available resources, customer expectations)

63.5% Agree
 20.2% Neither agree or disagree
 14.9% Disagree

- 2.1.3 Question - To what extent do you agree or disagree with the supporting principles.

71.5% Agree
 19% Neither agree or disagree
 9.5% Disagree

- 2.1.4 Question – To what extent do you agree or disagree that the strategy will address the challenge of providing the best possible highways network with the resources available?

45.2% agree
 27.4% Neither agree nor disagree
 21.9% Disagree

- 2.1.5 Question – To what extent do you agree or disagree these proposed treatment strategies will provide an adequate level of treatment within the resources available?

45% Agree
 29.6% Neither agree or disagree
 21.2% Disagree

- 2.1.6 Question – What do you think would be a reasonable maximum response time for medium risk/medium priority issues?

51% 1 Month
 27% 2 Months
 12% 3 Months
 3% 6 Months
 1% 6 Months +

- 2.1.7 Question – To what extent do you agree or disagree that the county council publicises its highway maintenance service standards well enough?

17.5% Agree
 37.8% Neither agree or disagree
 41.9% disagree

- 2.1.8 Question – To what extent do you agree or disagree that the county council publicises its annual programme of works well enough?

19% Agree
 24% Neither agree or disagree

53% Disagree

- 2.1.9 Question – To what extent do you agree or disagree that the county council lets people know sufficiently well about disruptive events and their potential impacts?

31% Agree

23% Neither agree or disagree

42% Disagree